

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,352	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luan V. Van	1753	

All Participants:

 (1) Luan V. Van.

 (2) Cindy Kaplan.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 21 December 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
During a telephone conversation with the Applicant's representative, Ms. Cindy Kaplan, on December 21, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-28 and 48-51.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)